

# Exhibit 14



1 And as we just discussed, the claim language doesn't use the  
2 term 'data port'; it just says there's an electrical  
3 communication to an array die.

4 THE COURT: You know, maybe I need to hear from the  
5 Plaintiff, then, because their briefing did not indicate the  
6 relationship that you're talking about, and I was not certain  
7 that in the argument that counsel for Plaintiff made in the  
8 last case that they were, in fact, saying that it is a  
9 limitation of the claim as opposed to an indication that the  
10 claim has been met.

11 Do you understand from your conversations with  
12 Plaintiff's counsel that they are contending that, in fact,  
13 the claim requires data ports as a limitation?

14 MR. RUECKHEIM: Not from conversations with opposing  
15 counsel, Your Honor. I sat in and watched the tail end of the  
16 *Netlist v. Samsung* trial, and so from observing what was  
17 argued there, that's where I understand that they were arguing  
18 to the jury what's shown here on the screen, and then also,  
19 Your Honor, in briefing in this case, Plaintiff has argued  
20 that 'electrical communication' requires even something more.  
21 There is an argument in the briefing that 'electrical  
22 communication' requires responsiveness. It says, "The above  
23 clear makes -- the above quotes"--they're citing to the  
24 specification, the intrinsic record here--it says that the  
25 electrical communication requires some kind of responsiveness.